PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 3 1 MAR 2006

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
24852-504-06					
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US04/39221	23 November 2004 (23.11.2004)	26 November 2003 (26.11.2003)			
International Patent Classification (IPC)					
IPC: A61K 31/4709, 31/167; C07D	215/40, 401/12; C07C 259/04				
USPC: 514/314,249, 261, 266, 307, 30 Applicant	9, 311, 316, 318, 354, 355, 575				
ATON PHARMA, INC. 1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
	a total of $\frac{1}{2}$ sheets, including this cover sheet				
3. This report is also accomp	anied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. (sent to the applica	ant and to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indica	ations relating to the following items:				
Box No. I B	asis of the report				
Box No. II Pi	riority				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV	ack of unity of invention				
Box No. V R	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI C	ertain documents cited				
	ertain defects in the international application				
	ertain observations on the international applic				
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report					
01 February 2006 (01.02.2006) Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	15 March 2006 (15.0	011/01/1			
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Taylor Victor Oh					
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Telephone No. 571-272-2717					
Form PCT/IDE A/400 (cover sheet) (April 2005)					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.	_
PCT/US04/39221	

Box N	lo. I	Basis of the report		
		egard to the language, this report is based on:		
the international application in the language in which it was filed.				
		translation of the international application into <u>English</u> , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:		
	ĺ	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))		
	[international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))		
to	the i	egard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not end to this report):		
Σ	₫ 1	the international application as originally filed/furnished		
\triangleright	_ 1	the description: pages 1-108 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on		
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on		
Σ		the claims: pages 109-115 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages* NONE received by this Authority on received by the received		
		the drawings: pages NONE as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on		
L	ا ل	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.		
з. [The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, Nos		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
	٠	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, Nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):		
* If i	tem	4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International	app	lication	ι No.

PCT/US04/39221

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
the entire international application				
Claims Nos. 11-46				
because:				
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 11-46 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
hey are improper multiple dependent claims.				
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.				
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.				
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
See Supplemental Box for further details				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. III) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US04/39221

NO

YES

NO

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims 3,5-8 and 10	YES			
	Claims 1-2, 4, and 9	NO			
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims 3, 5-8 and 10	YES			

Claims 1-2, 4, and 10

2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7)

Industrial Applicability (IA)

Claims 1-2, 4 and 9 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Biggs et al (J. of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 61 (11), 1972, p. 1732-45).

Claims 1-46

Claims NONE

Biggs et al discloses 3-(bis(2-phenylethyl)amino)-N-hydroxy proanamide (see page 1743, #69 and 70). This is identical with the claims.

Claims 3, 5-8, and 10 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed invention.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)